



**Center for Social and Economic Research**

**Marek Dabrowski**

# **Living in the world of free and turbulent capital flows – consequences for macroeconomic policy making**

**Presentation prepared for the CEEI 2012 on “Achieving balanced  
growth in the CESEE countries”, Helsinki, November 26-27, 2012**

# Issues for discussion

- Capital mobility and balance-of-payment management
- Capital mobility and monetary policy
- What policy instruments remain in the hands of national governments (in both cases)



# BoP analysis: traditional assumptions

- BoP and IIP are concepts based on residency
- capital has its fixed residency (domicile)
- individual country gross national investment must be ultimately financed out of this country gross national saving (even if inter-temporal balance-of-payments imbalances are accepted) - echo of the Feldstein-Horioka (1980) “home country bias”



# BoP analysis: policy implications of traditional assumptions

Net capital inflow leads to accumulation of country's external liabilities, which

- cannot grow indefinitely,
- must be repaid at some point,
- higher they are, more vulnerable country's external position is



# BoP analysis: the alternative set of assumptions

- unrestricted international capital mobility
- major sources of capital do not have country of origin (may change their domicile)
- private investors seek the highest rate of return disregarding country borders
- some countries may offer higher rate of return than others for a long period of time



# Can countries influence the size and direction of capital flows?

- Capital controls – unavailable for EU members, doubtful effectiveness in other cases
- Monetary policy (no impact under hard peg, limited impact under flexible exchange rate)
- Fiscal policy (macro): some impact
- Fiscal incentives (micro): some impact (more in respect to structure of capital flows than volume)
- Macro-prudential regulation: depending what does it mean in practice
- Micro-prudential regulation: see fiscal incentives



# BoP analysis: consequences of modified assumptions

- Country may become capital exporter or capital importer for a long period of time
- The expected rate of return determines the direction of capital movement
- In the case of capital outflow it also affects residents
- But current account imbalances still matter as long as country has its own currency (exchange rate risk)



# Current account vs. capital account

- Traditional approach (in the world of restricted capital mobility): domestic factors of competitiveness + trade policy + exchange rate policy  $\Rightarrow$  trade and current account balance  $\Rightarrow$  capital flows
- The reverse causality in the world of free capital mobility: net capital flows have exogenous character and current account balance adapts to changes in capital account (through changes in real exchange rates)
- Policy consequences: national macroeconomic policy has limited control over current account balance and real exchange rate (even if it controls nominal exchange rate)
- Criteria of assessment of current account: who is doing well, who is vulnerable (doubts in respect to the EU's Excessive Imbalance Procedure or idea of current account targeting within the G20)



# Capital mobility and monetary policy (national perspective)

- Domestic money supply is largely exogenous as result of capital flows.
- Even under the free floating exchange rate and inflation targeting limited room of maneuver (interest rate decisions must take into account international financial market trends, limits of currency appreciation/ depreciation).
- Consequences of monetary policies of major central banks (especially the US Fed) far beyond their formal jurisdictions ⇒ major source of actual volatility in capital flows, export of inflation or deflation
- Others must follow decisions of major players (dealing with ‘external’ shocks produced by their decisions)



# Capital mobility and monetary policy (global perspective)

- Call for global monetary policy coordination – how much politically realistic???
- Worse, macroeconomic theory does not provide conceptual and analytical tools for such a coordination
  - How to define and measure a global money supply?
  - What factors and mechanisms determine changes in global money supply? (for example, the role of cross-country money multipliers under various exchange rate regimes)
  - All theoretical models of monetary policy (like the Taylor rule) analyze its determinants, tools and consequences within a single national economy (no global monetary model or even sufficient external spillovers in national models)

